

This document is not an API Standard; it is under consideration within an API technical committee but has not received all approvals required to become an API Standard. It shall not be reproduced or circulated or quoted, in whole or in part, outside of API committee activities except with the approval of the Chairman of the committee having jurisdiction and staff of the API Standards Dept. Copyright API. All rights reserved.

## **Instructions to Voters/Comments on API 520 Part II Ballot – “Annex C - Speed of Sound”**

- Your comments should be limited to the **red-lines portions of the ballot only.**
- If you are voting negative, please indicate which of your comment or comments are the reason for your negative vote. API’s Balloting system will categorize all of your comments as Negative.
- Don’t worry about formatting issues, particularly with the equations since these are a mess. These will be fixed during final editing.

Thanks to Georges Melhem and his work group for their efforts.

Phil Henry  
TF520 Chairman

# **Sizing, Selection, and Installation of Pressure-relieving Devices**

## **Part II—Installation**

API STANDARD 520, PART II  
SEVENTH EDITION, OCTOBER 2020



## Annex C (informative)

### PRV Acoustic Interaction

#### C.1 General

This annex describes a method for assessing one acoustic interaction phenomenon with direct spring-loaded PRVs as described in 7.2.4. This technical area is still being researched, so future changes are possible. PRV instability may occur even though this criterion of inlet length limit is satisfied <sup>[14] [15]</sup>.

#### C.2 Applicability of this Method

PRV and inlet line acoustic interaction depends on the fluid properties, type of PRV, rate of pressurization, speed of PRV opening, and the length of the PRV inlet piping (see 7.2.4 for description). The magnitude of the effect of the acoustic interaction is highly dependent on how quickly the PRV opens (or closes) and the speed of sound in the fluid.

Acoustic line length limits or acoustic analysis can be applied to direct spring-loaded valves in any fluid service.

PRV chatter can occur while relieving vapor, liquid, or two-phase fluids. It is important to note that PRV damage is more likely to occur in liquid service due to the large magnitude of the water hammer pressure waves propagated upstream during rapid valve closure (full or partial), i.e. during chatter or during flutter. Damage can still occur in vapor/two-phase service due to potentially large mechanical forces caused by the rapid valve opening and closing. This may be especially true for large valves and/or for valves in high-pressure service.

Acoustic analysis may not be warranted for the following services because these are lower-risk applications.

- a) any pilot-operated PRV with a remote sense line connected to the protected equipment, because the valve's opening response will be independent of the pressure in the PRV inlet;
- b) any modulating pilot-operated relief valve, because the response speed of a modulating pilot valve is sufficiently slow to allow for the pressure wave to reflect back in time, which would keep the PRV open;
- c) thermal relief valves where the relief load is very small and transient.

#### C.3 PRV Inlet Acoustic Line Length Limits

If the physical length of the PRV inlet line exceeds the acoustic length as calculated below, instability may occur.

$L_a = \frac{ct_o}{2}$	(C.1)
------------------------	-------

where

- $L_a$  is the inlet line acoustic length in ft [m];
- $c$  is the acoustic velocity (speed of sound in the fluid) in ft/s [m/s];
- $t_o$  is the opening time for the PRV in seconds.

This is an active area of research, and this simple criterion may not address all forms of instability. Other forms of acoustic interactions with the PRV may require shorter line length criteria (see referenced publications in 7.2.4).

For example, the PRV inlet line length should not exceed 30 ft (9.1 m) for a PRV in liquid service with an opening time of 20 ms where the speed of sound in the liquid is 3000 ft/s (914 m/s).

The PRV inlet line length should be measured from the protected system to the PRV inlet flange, including any process piping used during normal operation that forms part of the pressure relief path to the PRV. Alternatively, the inlet line length may be measured from the PRV inlet flange to the first significant acoustic reflection point. An acoustic reflection point in the piping should be abrupt and have sufficient capacitance to absorb the rarefaction wave. This is described in several texts that cover acoustics, such as *Fundamental of Acoustics*, 4th edition [17]. Neither an elbow nor a series of reducers are acoustical reflection points. An example of an acoustic reflection point is an abrupt cross-sectional area change where the upstream piping cross-sectional area is approximately 10 or more times larger than the downstream piping cross-sectional area, and the length of the upstream piping is more than 20 times the diameter of the downstream piping (e.g. 3-in.-diameter pipe connected to a 12-in.-diameter pipe that is greater than 80 in. long). In this example, calculations show that this results in about 98 % of the rarefaction wave being absorbed.

## C.4 Speed of Sound

### C.4.1 Generalized Equation

The speed of sound is the square root of the partial derivative of pressure with respect to density at constant entropy. The speed of sound characterizes the propagation of an infinitesimal pressure wave in a fluid that is unconfined:

$$c_s = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial \rho}\right)_s} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{k_T \rho} \left(\frac{c_p}{c_v}\right)} = c_T \sqrt{\left(\frac{c_p}{c_v}\right)}$$

$$c = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial \rho}\right)_s}$$

~~The isentropic bulk modulus may be used to replace the partial derivative, so the speed of sound in the medium may be calculated for liquids as:~~

~~in USC units:~~

$$c = 8.62 \left(\frac{K_s}{S}\right)^{0.5}$$

~~in SI units:~~

$$c = \left(\frac{K_s}{S}\right)^{0.5}$$

where

~~$K_s$  is the isentropic bulk modulus of elasticity for the fluid in psi (kPa)  
(can be calculated from the isothermal bulk modulus of elasticity for the fluid by multiplying by the specific heat ratio,  $C_p/C_v$ , for the fluid);~~

$S$  is the specific gravity of fluid at relieving conditions.

where

$c_s$  is the speed of sound at constant entropy in [m/s]

$c_T$  is the isothermal speed of sound in [m/s]

$P$  is the system pressure in [Pascals]

$\rho$  is the fluid density in [kg/m<sup>3</sup>]

$c_p$  is the fluid specific heat capacity at constant pressure in [J/kg/K]

$c_v$  is the fluid specific heat capacity at constant volume in [J/kg/K]

$k_T$  is the fluid isothermal compressibility in [1/Pa]

The general equation presented above applies to a pure component fluid and to mixtures alike. The density of a homogenous two-phase mixture can be expressed as a function of the volumetric void or vapor fraction:

$$\rho_m = \alpha \rho_g + (1 - \alpha) \rho_l$$

where

$\rho_m$  is the homogenous two-phase mixture density in [kg/m<sup>3</sup>]

$\rho_l$  is the liquid density in [kg/m<sup>3</sup>]

$\rho_g$  is the vapor or gas density in [kg/m<sup>3</sup>]

$\alpha$  is the volumetric void or vapor fraction

#### **C.4.2 Liquid Speed of Sound**

Accurate values of the liquid speed of sound require accurate values of the isothermal compressibility,  $k_T$ . The liquid isothermal compressibility can be determined from a suitable equation of state or by measurement for single and/or multicomponent liquid mixtures.

Be aware that values from process simulators for the speed of sound in a fluid\_liquid, in particular a multicomponent fluid\_liquid, can be highly variable depending on how the process simulator does the calculation. If a simulator is used to estimate the speed of sound, the method for calculation should be validated against measured speed-of-sound values for common fluids. The user may want to do a sensitivity study to cover a range of values for critical applications.

#### **C.4.3 Multi-phase Flow Speed of Sound**

The isentropic two-phase mixture speed of sound can be calculated by differentiating pressure with respect to mixture density at constant entropy <sup>[36]</sup>:

$$c_{m,s} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{\frac{\alpha}{c_{g,s}^2} + \frac{1-\alpha}{c_{l,s}^2} + (\rho_g - \rho_l) \left[ \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial P} \right]_s}}$$

where

$c_{m,s}$  is the mixture speed of sound at constant entropy in [m/s]

$c_{g,s}$  is the gas or vapor speed of sound at constant entropy in [m/s]

$c_{l,s}$  is the liquid speed of sound at constant entropy in [m/s]

For flashing flows, the isentropic change of void fraction with respect to pressure is always negative and has a significant impact on the two-phase speed of sound. As a result, the two-phase speed of sound for flashing mixtures can be as low as 15 m/s. For frozen flow, the void fraction is constant and the change of void fraction with respect to pressure is 0. Therefore, the mixture speed of sound for frozen flow will always be higher than that for a flashing mixture.

The speed of sound in a fluid-liquid is affected by the hoop elasticity of the piping<sup>[32,36]</sup>. The higher the pipe elasticity, the lower the speed of sound is in the fluid, which results in a reduced acoustic line length. For typical steel petrochemical piping, the piping materials and wall thicknesses result in negligible increases in the speed of sound. If, however, the piping material has high elasticity, this effect should be considered.

## C.5 Speed of PRV Opening

Spring-loaded PRVs can have very rapid opening times (measured in ms) depending on the valve type, trim, size, set pressure, fluid phase, and pressurization rate. Representative values may be obtained from the PRV manufacturer. In general, measured opening and closing times for PRVs range from 20 to 50 ms depending on the size of the valve. Several references<sup>[22][31]</sup> are available that provide guidance on how to calculate opening/closing times if this data is not available from the manufacturer. The user may want to do a sensitivity study to cover a range of values for critical applications.

# Bibliography

## Informative References

- [1] API Standard 510, *Pressure Vessel Inspection Code: In-Service Inspection, Rating, Repair and Alteration*
- [2] API Standard 526, *Flanged Steel Pressure-relief Valves*
- [3] API Standard 521, *Pressure-Relieving and Depressuring Systems*
- [4] API Recommended Practice 576, *Inspection of Pressure Relieving Devices*
- [5] ASME B31.1 <sup>1</sup>, *Power Piping*
- [6] ASME B31.3, *Process Piping*
- [7] ASME *Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code*, Section VIII, *Pressure Vessels*

## Other References

- [8] Darby R., Chhabra R., "Chemical Engineering Fluid Mechanics," 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition, 2017, CRC Press
- [9] Melhem, G. A., "Realize Better Risk Characterization of STHE Tube Failure Scenarios through Relief Systems Dynamics Modeling," October 2016, AIChE/DIERS Fall meeting
- [10] Aldeeb, A., Ron Darby, and Scott Arndt, "The dynamic response of pressure-relief valves in vapor or gas service, Part II: Experimental investigation," *Journal of Loss Prevention in the Process Industries*, 2014, 10.1016/j.jlp.2014.06.002
- [11] D'Alessandro, R., "Body Bowl Choking in Pressure-relief Valves," presented at the Joint U.S. and European DIERS Users Group meeting, Hamburg, Germany, June 2011
- [12] Darby, R., "The dynamic response of pressure-relief valves in vapor or gas service, Part I: Mathematical Model," *Journal of Loss Prevention in Process Industries*, Volume 26, 2013, pp. 1262–1268
- [13] Huff, J. E., "Intrinsic Back Pressure in Safety Valves," API 1983 Refining Meeting, Vol. 62, pp. 105–111, 1983
- [14] Izuchi H., "Chatter of Safety Valve," PLE Technology Center, Chiyoda Advanced Solutions Corporation, April, 2008
- [15] Izuchi, H., "Stability Analysis of Safety Valve," AIChE Spring meeting, April 2010, 10<sup>th</sup> Topical Conference on Gas Utilization, San Antonio, 2010
- [16] Jenett, E., "Components of Pressure Relieving Systems," *Chemical Engineering*, Volume 70, August 1963, pp. 151–158.
- [17] Kinsler, L. E., et al, *Fundamentals of Acoustics*, 4<sup>th</sup> Edition, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 2000

---

<sup>1</sup> ASME International, Two Park Avenue, New York, New York 10016-5990, [www.asme.org](http://www.asme.org).

- [18] Melhem, G. A., "Pressure-relief Stability," presented at the Joint US and European DIERS Users Group meeting, Hamburg, Germany, June 2011
- [19] Melhem, G. A., "Screening for PRV Stability—Inlet Pressure Drop and The 3 % Rule," Presented at the 78<sup>th</sup> API Refining and Equipment Standards Meeting, Las Vegas, NV, April 20–27, 2013
- [20] Melhem, G.A., "Analysis of PRV Stability in Relief Systems, Part II—Screening," Paper presented at the Fall DIERS Users Group Meeting, Mobile, Alabama, October 2014
- [21] Otis, B. "Liquid PRV Instability Team Recommendations," presented to the API 520 Task Force, November, 2011
- [22] "Pressure Drop Considerations on Pressure-relief Valve Inlets," *Consolidated Safety Valves Report* Number CON/PI-10, March 1988
- [23] Richardson, S. M., Saville, G., "Results of Spadeadam experimental validation," In: *Guidelines for the Safe and Optimum Design of Hydrocarbon Pressure-relief and Blowdown Systems*, Editor(s): Hewitt, Institute of Petroleum, 2001. ISBN: 9780852932872
- [24] Singh, A., and Shak, D., "Modeling of a spring loaded safety valve," in *Testing and Analysis of Safety/Relief Valve Performance*, pp. 63–70. American Society of Mechanical Engineers, ASME, 1983.
- [25] Singh, A., Hecht, A. M., and Teske, M. E., "A model for predicting the performance of spring loaded safety valves," in *Testing and Analysis of Safety/Relief Valve Performance*, pp. 47–54. American Society of Mechanical Engineers, ASME, 1983
- [26] Smith, D., and Yoram, S., "Address Inlet Pressure Loss Concerns with Restricted Lift Relief Devices," *Hydrocarbon Processing*, Volume 93, Number 3, March 2014, pp. 71–73
- [27] Sylvander, N. E. and Katz, D. L., *The Design and Construction of Pressure Relieving Systems*, Engineering Research Bulletin No. 31, Engineering Research Institute, University of Michigan Press, April 1948
- [28] *Testing and Analysis of Safety/Relief Valve Performance*, ASME Winter Annual Meeting, Phoenix, AZ, pp. NN–MM, 1983
- [29] Zahorsky, J. R., *Testing and Analysis of Safety/Relief Valve Performance*. The ASME Winter Annual Meeting, Atlanta, GA, November 15–19, 1982
- [30] Hos, C.J., Champneys, A.R., Paul, K., and McNeely, M., "Dynamic Behavior of Direct Spring Loaded Pressure-relief Valves in Gas Service: Model Development, Measurements and Instability Mechanisms," *Journal of Loss Prevention the Process Industries*, Volume 31, pp. 70-81, 2014
- [31] Cremers, J., Friedel, L., and Pallaks, B., "Validated Sizing Rules Against Chatter of Relief Valves During Gas Service," *Journal of Loss Prevention the Process Industries*, Volume 14, pp. 261-267; 2001
- [32] Mays, L., *Hydraulic Design Handbook*, McGraw-Hill, pp. 122, 1999
- [33] Melhem, G.A., "Analysis of PRV Stability in Relief Systems, Part I—Detailed Dynamics," Paper presented at the Fall DIERS Users Group Meeting, Mobile, Alabama, October 2014
- [34] Izuchi, H., "Effect of Body Bowl Choking on Pressure Relief Valve Stability," AIChE Spring meeting, April 2015, 11th Global Congress on Process Safety, Austin, 2015



- 
- [35] CCPS, *Guidelines for Pressure Relief and Effluent Handling Systems*, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition, 2017, American Institute of Chemical Engineers, Center for Chemical Process Safety, 3 Park Avenue, 19th Floor, New York, New York 10016, [www.aiche.org/ccps](http://www.aiche.org/ccps), ISBN 978-0-470-76773-3
- [36] [G. A. Melhem, "Analysis of PRV Stability in Relief Systems - On the Estimation of Speed of Sound - Part IV", Paper presented at the Spring AIChE / DIERS Meeting, Houston, Texas, March 2016.](#)





200 Massachusetts Avenue, NW  
Suite 1100  
Washington, DC 20001-5571  
USA

202-682-8000

**Additional copies are available online at [www.api.org/pubs](http://www.api.org/pubs)**

Phone Orders: 1-800-854-7179 (Toll-free in the U.S. and Canada)  
303-397-7956 (Local and International)

Fax Orders: 303-397-2740

Information about API publications, programs and services is available  
on the web at [www.api.org](http://www.api.org).

**Product No. C520207**